# **BULLETIN** Corpus Christi Geological Society



and

# Coastal Bend Geophysical Society



September 2019 ISSN 0739 5620

# Compliments of

# **American Shoreline, Inc.**



Specializing in Oil & Gas Exploration & Wind Energy

802 N. Carancahua Frost Bank Plaza, Suite 1250 Corpus Christi, Texas 78401 (361) 888-4496

# www.amshore.com

## **Table of Contents**

Officers, Committees and Chairpersons for CCGS/CBGS	2&3
CCGS/CBGS Joint Calendar of Meetings & Events	4&5
CCGS President's Letter	6
Membership Renewal 2019-20	7
CBGS President's Letter	8-11
Society Kickoff Bar-B-Q announcement	12
Memorial Barnard Dietz	14
Annual CCGS Fishing Tournament	16,17
Volcanic history of the Imbrium basin: A close-up view from the lunar rover Yutu	19
CCGS Papers available for purchase at the Bureau of Economic Geology	33
Geo Link Post	34
Type Logs of South Texas Fields	35
Order Oil Men DVD	36
Wooden Rigs Iron Men	37
Professional Directory	38



## CORPUS CHRISTI GEOLOGICAL SOCIETY P.O. BOX 1068\* C.C.TX. 78403 2019-2020

www.ccgeo.org

<b>OFFICERS</b>			
President	Austin Nye	361-452-1435	austin@nyexp.us
President Elect			
Vice President	Randy Bissell	361-885-0110	randyb@headingtonenergy.com
Secretary	Emily Olson	361-542-7434	emily@olsongeo.com
Treasurer	Sebastian Wiedmann	361-946-4430	swiedmann.geo@gmail.com
Past President	Frank Cornish	361-563-9184	frank.cornish@gmail.com
Councilor I	Rick Paige	361-884-8824	rpaigesio2@gmail.com
Councilor II	BJ Thompson	361-816-2326	william.thompson426@gmail.com

### AAPG DELEGATE

### **EDITORS**

Bulletin Editor	Marian Wiedmann	361-855-2542	wiedgulf@gmail.com
Bulletin Tech. Editor	Jeanie Timmermann	361-883-1492	jeanie@industrialcorrosion.net
Web Master	Josh Pollard	361-654-3100	<u>support@interconnect.net</u>

### **GEOLOGICAL SOCIETY COMMITTEES & CHAIRPERSONS**

### MEMBERS PLEASE NOTE COMMITTEES CONTINUE TO FUNCTION WITHOUT A NAMED CHAIR, ALL ARE WELCOME TO ANY COMMITTEE THAT SUITES YOUR INTERST

Robby Sterett	361-739-5618	<u>robert.sterett@gmail.com</u>
Wes Gisler &	830-239-4651	arrangements@ccgeo.org
Will Graham	361-885-0110	willg@headingtonenery.com
Dawn Bissell	361-960-2151	bissells@swbell.net
Dawn Bissell	361-960-2151	bissells@swbell.net
Leighton Devine	361-882-8400	ldevine@suemaur.com
OPEN (Ray Govett)	361-855-0134	<u>ray30@hotmail.com</u>
Dorothy Jordan	361-885-0110	dorothyj@headington.com
Randy Bissell	361-885-0113	randyb@headington.com
	Robby Sterett Wes Gisler & Will Graham Dawn Bissell Dawn Bissell Leighton Devine <b>OPEN</b> (Ray Govett) Dorothy Jordan Randy Bissell	Robby Sterett   361-739-5618     Wes Gisler &   830-239-4651     Will Graham   361-885-0110     Dawn Bissell   361-960-2151     Dawn Bissell   361-960-2151     Leighton Devine   361-882-8400     OPEN (Ray Govett)   361-855-0134     Dorothy Jordan   361-885-0110     Randy Bissell   361-885-0113

Type Logs



### COASTAL BEND GEOPHYSICAL SOCIETY P.O. BOX 2741\*C.C. TX. 78403 2017-2018

### **OFFICERS**

President	Dr. Subbarao Yelisetti	361-593-4894	subbarao.yelisetti@tamuk.edu
Vice President	Samara Omar	361-902-2826-office	Samara_Omar@eogresources.com
		361-446-7334-mobile	
Secretary/Treasurer	Erik Scott	361-902-2880-office	Erik Scott@eogresources.com
		361-800-3364-mobile	
Golf Chairman	Mark Wiley	361-902-2844-office	Mark_Wiley@eogresources.com
		361-445-6712-mobile	
Scholarship Chairman	Matt Hammer	361-888-4792	mhammer@royalcctx.com
		361-563-6137	

# Visit the geological web site at www.ccgeo.org

## CCGS/CBGS JOINT MEETING SCHEDULE 2019-2020

		Sep	temb	er					<b>O</b> c	tober	I					Nov	emb	er		
S	Μ	T	W	Th	F	S	S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S	S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S
		2	2019						2	019						2	019			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			1	2	3	4	5						1	2
0	0	10	11	17	12	11	6	7	0	0	10	11	17	2	4	Ę	6	7	0	0
0	9	10	11	14	13	14	0	/	0	9	10	11	12	3	4	3	0	/	0	9
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	13	14	15	<mark>16</mark>	17	18	19	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
				-										. –			_			
22	23	24	25	<mark>26</mark>	27	28	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	17	18	19	<mark>20</mark>	21	22	23
29	30						27	28	29	30	31			24	25	26	27	28	29	30
											01									20

Thursday, Sept. 26<sup>th</sup> at 5:30-8:00p.m. Kickoff at Hoegemeyer's Barbeque Barn.

11:30-l:00 pm Speaker: Dr. Neil Bockoven

December								January						February						
S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S	S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S	S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S
		2	019						2	020						2	2020			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7				1	2	3	4							1
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
15	16	17	<mark>18</mark>	19	20	21	12	13	14	<mark>15</mark>	16	17	18	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	16	17	18	<mark>19</mark>	20	21	22
29	30	31					26	27	28	29	30	31		23	24	25	26	27	28	29

							<u> </u>				- <b>O</b> - 1						-			
		N	larch							April	1					M	lay			
S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S	S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S	S	Μ	Т	W	Th	F	S
			2020							202	0						202	0		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7				1	2	3	4						1	2
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			_							_										
15	16	17	<mark>18</mark>	19	20	21	12	13	14	<b>15</b>	16	17	18	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
					~-		10						~		10	4.0	2.0			~~
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
20	20	24					20	27	20	20	20			24	25	26	27	20	20	20
29	30	31					26	27	28	29	30			24	25	26	27	28	29	30
														21						
														31						

## CCGS/CBGS Joint Meeting Schedule 2019-2020

# **Calendar of Meetings and Events**

## **Calendar of Area Monthly Meetings**

Corpus Christi Geological/Geophysical Society	Third Wed.—11:30a.m.
SIPES Corpus Christi Luncheons	Last Tues.—11:30a.m.
South Texas Geological Society Luncheons	Second Wed—noon San Antonio
San Antonio Geophysical Society Meetings	Fourth Tuesday
Austin Geological Society	First Monday
Houston Geological Society Luncheons	Last Wednesday
Central Texas Section of Society of Mining, Metalllurgy & Exp	
	San Antonio



### **CCGS PRESIDENT'S LETTER**

Welcome to the 2019/2020 season of our illustrious society. We have some changes this year to the luncheon schedule; only four typical luncheons, and two technical sessions courtesy of the CBGS. Our BBQ kickoff will be held at Hoegemeyer's Barbeque Barn on Thursday September 26, 2019 at 5:30pm to 8:00pm. Our regular luncheons will be held in November 20th, January 15th, April 15th and May20th. The two technical sessions will be held in October and February.

Our fishing tournament this year was a big success, raising over \$2000.00 for the scholarship fund. Over the years we have donated \$55,000 to the CCGS scholarship fund.

Thank you to our current board, Randy Bissel, Vice President; Sebastian Wiedmann, treasurer; Emily Olson, Secretary; BJ Thompson, Counselor; Rick Paige, Counselor. As always, we need more volunteers. A special thank you to Emily Olson for stepping up, and Brianna Watkins for offering to manage our social media foot print.

Looking forward to a great year.

Austin Nye—VP Exploration & Land CCGS President

## RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW Please RENEW for the 2019-20 Membership Period using those handy forms we mailed in August.

Everyone should have received their 2019-2020 Membership Renewal forms in the US Mail.

- **PRINTED BULLETINS** are available to any member for \$60/year. We must have a valid mailing address. Please <u>confirm your mailing address</u> on the renewal form.
- Emails! Please legibly re-write your <u>preferred email address</u> at the bottom of the form. We are striving to maintain 100% of the Society reachable by email. Your email is vital if you've chosen not to receive the printed Bulletin.
- Please <u>return the form</u> promptly...yes, <u>before</u> (or at) the Kickoff party on September 26. Return of your form is *vital* to keeping our records in order, that's why we enclose a return envelope.
- **IMPORTANT:** Even if you plan to pay at the Kickoff, **please bring your renewal form and payment in the envelope!** Honorary and student members, please return your forms, too! Students need to update emails, employment, and contact info.



**PAY ONLINE** at **www.ccgeo.org** and follow the simple steps. We ask that you <u>still</u> return corrected member information via the enclosed envelope...or email it to membership@ccgeo.org...or fax it to us at 361-885-0120.

### 



We mailed over 200 **renewals** this year and it is <u>very expensive</u> for our Societies. Your <u>prompt</u> return of your renewal form is *your* part of this process. Please make this effort worthwhile for our Society.

### RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW

**For new members, active or student.** Email **randyb@headingtonenergy.com** to get a membership form. Just print it, fill it out and send it along with your check (no fee for students) to: CCGS Membership, 500 North Shoreline Blvd., Ste. 902N, Corpus Christi, Texas 78401-0343. Contact Randy Bissell at **randyb@headington.com** or **(361) 885-0110** with any questions. Thanks for your quick response – earlier makes it much easier! And remember:

### RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW...RENEW



## **CBGS President's Letter**

### **CBGS Board 2019-2020**

Dr. Subbarao Yelisetti- President Samara Omar- Vice President Erik Scott- Secretary/ Treasurer Matt Hammer - Scholarship Chair Mark Wiley - Golf Chair Education – Robert Schneider

### **CBGS Scholarships**

The board awarded three scholarships of \$2,000 each to undergraduate geophysics majors from Texas A&M University-College Station, University of Houston and Texas A&M University-Kingsville in 2017-2018. We will be awarding the scholarships again this year.

### **Scholarship Requirements**

Criteria for awarding the Scholarship from Coastal Bend Geophysical Society of Corpus Christi, Texas:

- 1. Scholarships are open to undergraduate or graduate students.
- 2. Must have declared major in Geophysics, or Geology with a concentration in Geophysics or Petrophysics.
- 3. Preference is given to students attending Coastal Bend schools (TAMU-K, TAMU-CC and Del Mar College), then to Coastal Bend natives attending other universities.
- 4. Must have a GPA of at least 3.0 and be in good standing with the school.
- 5. Must make effort to attend a Coastal Bend Geophysical Society Meeting in Corpus Christi Texas after being awarded a scholarship to be recognized by the society.

### News

- According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) projections, the U.S. oil production would rise to 12.27 million bpd in 2019 from a record 10.99 million bpd in 2018.
- According to EIA projections, U.S. crude output would rise by 85,000 barrels per day (bpd) in September to a record 8.77 million bpd.
- At the time of writing this report, U.S. crude futures were trading around \$54 a barrel for the balance of 2019 and \$52 in calendar 2020 as reported by Scott DiSavino on reuters.com.

- The U.S. active rig count so far this year has averaged 1,004.
- According to the analysts at Simmons & Co forecast, the average combined oil and gas rig count will slide from a four-year high of 1,032 in 2018 to 970 in 2019 and 955 in 2020 before rising to 997 in 2021 as reported by Scott DiSavino on reuters.com.

### **CBGS Business**

CBGS currently has 52 active members, 4 honorary members, and 60 student members.

### **CBGS workshops/talks**

- As part of the annual Kickoff Bar-B-Q, CBGS hosted Dr. Satinder Chopra on Sep 19, 2018 at the EOG conference center. His talk was entitled "Seismic reservoir characterization of Utica-Point Pleasant shale with efforts at quantitative interpretation a case study".
- CBGS offered a land seismic acquisition workshop on Dec 5<sup>th</sup> in EOG conference center with the following talks.

### Talks:

- 1. A Brief Introduction to Seismic Acquisition (Students & New Professionals Encouraged) by Lonnie Blake, EOG
- 2. A Comparison of Long, Short, and Slip Sweep 3D Data Image Volumes Acquired And Constrained By Equivalent Source Time (KWP Phase I) by J. W. (Tom) Thomas, Kevin Werth, Tom Phillips, Chris Lindsey Dawson Geophysical Co.
- A Comparison of 3D Multi-Component (9C) Data Image Volumes Acquired With Conventional and Simultaneous Source Techniques Also With Adequate Spatial Resolution For Compressive Sensing Investigation (KWP Phase 2) by J. W. (Tom) Thomas, Kevin Werth, Tom Phillips, Chris Lindsey Dawson Geophysical Co.
- 4. SAExporation More, Recent Advances in Onshore Seismic Data Acquisition Methods by Howard Watt, SAExporation, Houston

CBGS is looking forward to offer many such workshops in the future. Topic/speaker suggestions are welcome. Email your suggestions to <u>Samara\_Omar@eogresources.com</u> or <u>Subbarao.Yelisetti@tamuk.edu</u>

### **Golf Tournament**

CBGS organized its annual **Golf Tournament** to fund its scholarship program in the first week of October, 2018 at Northshore Country Club. Raised ~\$4,000 for the scholarship fund.

If you are interested in our next Golf Tournament, please contact Mark Wiley at Mark\_Wiley@eogresources.com

### New Degree Tracks at TAMUK

- Texas A&M University-Kingsville (TAMUK) started its first cohort of MS Petrophysics program in Fall 2018. If you are interested in joining this program in Fall 2019, please contact the graduate coordinator for MS in Petrophysics, Dr. Subbarao Yelisetti at <u>Subbarao.Yelisetti@tamuk.edu</u>.
- **BS degree in Geophysics, Minor in Geophysics and Certification in Geophysics** offered at Texas A&M University-Kingsville since Fall 2017. Interested students can contact Dr. Subbarao Yelisetti (<u>Subbarao.Yelisetti@tamuk.edu</u>) for additional information.

### **Exploration Geophysics and Borehole Geophysics classes**

**PHYS 5382 Exploration Geophysics** and **PHYS 5388 Borehole Geophysics** classes are offered in Fall 2019 at Texas A&M University-Kingsville. This is available for the professional community as well as our students. You can sign up as a "transient" student in order to take classes without actually enrolling in the school. If anyone in the professional community wishes to sign up for this, please contact, Dr. Subbarao Yelisetti <u>Subbarao.Yelisetti@tamuk.edu</u>.

### **SEG Distinguished Lecture**

CBGS and TAMUK SEG student chapter organized 2018 SEG Distinguished Lecture in January, 2018. We wish to organize many more lectures in the future.

### **Education/Events**

### -<u>SEG</u>

SEG 2019 annual meeting will be held in San Antonio, TX from Sep 15-20<sup>th</sup>. Abstract deadline is April 1, 2019. See <u>https://seg.org/Annual-Meeting-2019</u> for additional details.

See <u>https://seg.org/Education/Lectures/Distinguished-Lectures</u> for information about upcoming SEG distinguished lecture in Houston and other locations.

See <u>https://seg.org/Education/Lectures/Honorary-Lectures</u> for SEG honorary lecture locations in Texas.

### -AGU

2019 Fall AGU annual meeting will be held in San Francisco, CA from December 9-13<sup>th</sup>, 2019. <u>https://fallmeeting.agu.org/2018/future-meetings/</u>

### -GSA

The Geological Society of America's 131<sup>st</sup> annual meeting will be held in Phoenix, AZ from 22-25<sup>th</sup> September 2019.

http://www.geosociety.org/GSA/Events/Annual\_Meeting/GSA/Events/gsa2019.aspx

### **Monthly Saying**

"Striking oil would seem to be rather an easy process on such grounds. It would be worth trying" - Malcolm Mcleod (1872) commenting on the journal of Chief Factor Archibald McDonald written in 1828 in reference to the Bituminous Springs along the Athabasca River.

Texas Oil and Gas Info	Current Month	Last Month	Difference	
Texas Production	MMBO/BCF	MMBO/BCF	MMBO/BCF	
Oil	125.7	134.6	-8.9	April
Condensate	15.4	15.3	0.1	April
Gas	743.9	773.4	-29.5	April
	Current Month	Yr to date - 2019	Yr to date - 2018	
Texas Drilling Permits	912	7166	8330	July
Oil wells	212	1746	2160	July
Gas wells	58	436	519	July
Oil and Gas wells	537	4416	5075	July
Other	9	69	80	July
Total Completions	699	5749	6514	July
Oil Completions	499	4278	5089	July
Gas Completions	156	1181	1084	July
New Field Discoveries	0	7	15	July
Other	2	18	24	July

### **Monthly Summary**

Subbarao Yelisetti President, CBGS



The Corpus Christi Geological Society The Coastal Bend Geophysical Society



Invite you to the Society Kickoff Bar-B-Q <u>Thursday</u>, September 26, 2019 5:30 to 8:00 p.m.

# Hoegemeyer's Barbeque Barn

711 Concrete Street (Located across from Concrete Street Amphitheater). From downtown, take Chaparral St. north, then left on Belden St.

# \$25 per member/guest \$15 students



Complimentary Bar Courtesy of

GISLER BROTHERSLOGGING CO., INC.South Texas OperationsWest Texas OperationsP.O. BOX 485 RUNGE, TEXAS 78151P.O. BOX 1759 STANTON, TEXAS 79782Office : (830) 239-4651 Cell : 361-676-1369Office : (432) 270-7730 Cell: 361-676-1369

RSVP (required) by Monday, Sept. 23

Dorothy Jordan, Dorothy@headingtonenergy.com, 361-885-0113

Wes Gisler, wes@gislerbrotherslogging.com, 1-830-239-4651

### **SPONSORS**





## Memorial Barnard Dietz



Upon hearing that Barnard Dietz had passed, I found myself thinking back as to when I first became acquainted with him. In 1966 I came to work for Texas Oil & Gas as an engineer in Corpus Christi. Barnard was the Gulf Coast District Geologist for TXO. The next year he was promoted to Chief Geologist, assigned to the corporate office in Dallas. All major geological prospects had to receive his blessing prior to proceeding further in the planning stage. Presenting a Gulf Coast prospect to Barnard was sometimes an uncomfortable job. He already had strong opinions about the geology and was very outspoken, and rightfully so. One main thing I admired about him was even though some discussions could become very heated at times, he never seemed to hold a grudge. Another thing I admired was that every time we spoke, he would always offer me a word of encouragement. He was definitely a team player.

One major parameter defining the success of an individual, is whether or not they lived their life in such a way as to make a positive impact on those they had contact with. Barnard Dietz did that. I'm very honored to have been a friend and a colleague of his. May he rest in peace.

Louis Little

# New Ft. Trinidad 3D Survey Houston and Trinity Counties, TX





CGG continues to expand its East Texas footprint with high-quality 3D projects while illuminating the stacked pay formations.

Data is already available from our Bedias Creek Merge and Rock Ridge East projects. Orthorhombic PSTM from our newest project Ft. Trinidad is also now available.

### The right data, in the right place, at the right time

Scott Tinley +1 832 351 8544 scott.tinley@cqq.com

Cheryl Oxsheer +1 832 351 8463

cheryl.oxsheer@cgg.com





## **Annual CCGS Fishing Tournament**



The 10<sup>th</sup> Annual CCGS Fishing Tournament was a huge success. The festivities started Thursday evening with the Dock Party/Captain's Meeting at Treasure Island Bar in beautiful Port Aransas, Texas. Saltwater Grill provided some great food followed by the Captain's Meeting and door prize giveaway. Thank you to all the volunteers who made our Thursday event run so smoothly.

Eighteen inshore teams set out Friday morning under perfect conditions, and brought back some really impressive fish. We had a very competitive group of anglers making the afternoon weigh-in a great experience. Thanks to Pete Graham and Schlumberger for the fajitas on Friday.

The last 10 years have been a great experience for all of us involved in putting this tournament together. With the help of our tremendous sponsors, most of which have been with us from day one, our winners took home over \$15,000.00 in cash and prizes. To date we have donated over \$55,000.00 to support the CCGS Scholarship Trust Fund and various other continuing education funds. I look forward to the coming years and hope we can continue to put on a successful industry event.

Leighton Devine Fishing Tournament Chairman



Kelby Broussard (TEXEGY)



David Musgrove (TEXLA Energy Management)



Zach Corcoran (Sir Drake Oil & Gas Company)

**SPONSORS** 

## CHARGER EXPLORATION

### Michael L. Jones President/Geologist

**Onshore Gulf Coast Prospect Generation and Consulting** 

1001 McKinney Street, Suite 801 Houston, TX 77002 Ofc: 713.654.0080 Cell: 713.398.3091 Email: mjones@chargerexploration.com www.chargerexploration.com

# That old gas kick could be your next discovery!

Characterization of Unconventional Reservoirs

Traditional methods of core analysis cannot yield acceptable results when applied to unconventional reservoirs such as gas shales, tight gas sands, coals and thin bed formations.

Production controls on these reservoirs are not limited to hydrocarbons in place, permeability and porosity. Pay Identification requires an understanding of complex lithologies and exotic mineralogies.

Only Core Lab offers the comprehensive range of unique technologies required to optimize your unconventional reservoirs.

Geological Petrophysical Geomechanical Geochemical



To learn more about our unique unconventional reservoir evaluation services contact Core Lab. (713) 328-2121 or (361) 289-5457 psinfo@corelab.com

© 2005 Core Laboratories. All rights reserved



Jinhai Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Wei Yang<sup>a</sup>, Sen Hu<sup>a</sup>, Yangting Lin<sup>a,1</sup>, Guangyou Fang<sup>b</sup>, Chunlai Li<sup>c</sup>, Wenxi Peng<sup>d</sup>, Sanyuan Zhu<sup>e</sup>, Zhiping He<sup>f</sup>, Bin Zhou<sup>b</sup>, Hongyu Lin<sup>g</sup>, Jianfeng Yang<sup>h</sup>, Enhai Liu<sup>i</sup>, Yuchen Xu<sup>a</sup>, Jianyu Wang<sup>f</sup>, Zhenxing Yao<sup>a</sup>, Yongliao Zou<sup>c</sup>, Jun Yan<sup>c</sup>, and Ziyuan Ouyang<sup>j</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China; <sup>b</sup>Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; <sup>f</sup>National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China; <sup>d</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China; <sup>e</sup>Key Laboratory of Mineralogy and Metallogeny, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China; <sup>f</sup>Key Laboratory of Space Active Opto-Electronics Technology, Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200083, China; <sup>9</sup>The Fifth Laboratory, Beijing Institute of Space Mechanics & Electricity, Beijing 100076, China; <sup>h</sup>Xi'an Institute of Optics and Precision Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710119, China; <sup>h</sup>Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610209, China; and <sup>j</sup>Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610209, China; and <sup>j</sup>Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Science, Guiyang 550002, China

Edited by Mark H. Thiemens, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, and approved March 24, 2015 (received for review February 13, 2015)

We report the surface exploration by the lunar rover Yutu that landed on the young lava flow in the northeastern part of the Mare Imbrium, which is the largest basin on the nearside of the Moon and is filled with several basalt units estimated to date from 3.5 to 2.0 Ga. The onboard lunar penetrating radar conducted a 114-m-long profile, which measured a thickness of ~5 m of the lunar regolith layer and detected three underlying basalt units at depths of 195, 215, and 345 m. The radar measurements suggest underestimation of the global lunar regolith thickness by other methods and reveal a vast volume of the last volcano eruption. The in situ spectral reflectance and elemental analysis of the lunar soil at the landing site suggest that the young basalt could be derived from an ilmenite-rich mantle reservoir and then assimilated by 10–20% of the last residual melt of the lunar magma ocean.

volcanic history | Imbrium basin | lunar rover Yutu | lunar penetrating radar | Chang'e-3 mission

The surface of the Moon is covered by regolith, a mixed layer of fine-grained lunar soil and ejecta deposits, which is crucial to understanding the global composition of the Moon. The lunar regolith has also recorded the complex history of the surface processes, and it is the main reservoir of <sup>3</sup>He and other solar wind gases. The thickness of the lunar regolith was estimated to be from 2 to 8 m in the maria and up to 8–16 m in the highland areas using various methods (1), including crater morphology (2, 3), seismology with low spatial resolution (4), radar wave scattering (5), and microwave brightness temperature (6). However, no in situ measurement of spectral reflectance, elemental compositions, lunar regolith thickness, or subsurface structures has been carried out.

The surface of the Moon is dominated with numerous large basins. They were formed about 3.9 Ga (7, 8), probably by the late heavy bombardment, and then filled with dark lava flows derived from partial melting of the lunar mantle, within a period mainly during 3.8-3.1 Ga (7). The Imbrium basin is the largest and was formed on Procellarum KREEP [potassium (K), rare earth elements (REE), and phosphorus (P)] Terrane (9), a unique terrain highly enriched in U, Th, and K radionuclides and other incompatible trace elements referred to as KREEP (10) and considered as the last residual melt of the Lunar Magma Ocean (11). The presence of the KREEPy materials, indicated by high concentrations of radionuclides U, Th, and K (9), around the rims of the Imbrium basin suggests that they are likely the basin-forming ejecta deposits. At least three main lava flows, dated from 3.5 Ga to 2.0-2.3 Ga (7, 12), have been recognized in Mare Imbrium with distinct FeO and TiO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (13, 14), which brought up interior information of this KREEP-rich terrain. The old and low-Ti basalt unit has been sampled by the Apollo 15 mission that landed at the eastern rim of the Imbrium basin. Information of other lava

flows in Mare Imbrium was obtained only by remote sensing from orbit. On December 14, 2013, Chang'e-3 successfully landed on the young and high-Ti lava flow in the northeastern Mare Imbrium, about 10 km south from the old low-Ti basalt unit (Fig. 1).

CrossMark

The lunar rover Yutu (named for the jade rabbit on the Moon in a Chinese fairy tale) was equipped with an active particleinduced X-ray spectrometer (APXS), a visible to near-infrared (450-945 nm) imaging spectrometer and short-wave infrared (900-2,395 nm) spectrometer (VNIS), and a lunar penetrating radar (LPR), accompanied by a stereo camera and a navigating camera. Originally, the mission planned to have the lunar rover measure chemical and mineral compositions of the lunar soil and various types of ejecta rocks and to carry out a LPR profile of the lunar regolith and subsurface structures in the first 3 mo. The mission was scheduled to extend up to 1 y and to explore the old low-Ti lava flow ~10 km north. Unfortunately, some of Yutu's mechanical parts failed to move just before the rover prepared for sleeping at the end of the second month due to unknown faults probably in the control system. During the first 2 mo, Yutu successfully carried out two APXS and four VNIS analyses of the lunar soil and performed a 114-m-long LPR profile along the rover track in the landing area (Fig. 2). These in situ measurements

### Significance

After the Apollo and Luna missions, which were flown about 40 years ago, the Moon was explored only from orbit. In addition, no samples were returned from the young and high-FeO and  $TiO_2$  mare basalt in the northern Imbrium basin. Such samples are important to understand the formation and evolution of the Procellarum KREEP [potassium (K), rare earth elements (REE), and phosphorus (P)] terrain, a key terrain highly enriched in radioactive nuclides. The Chang'e-3 mission carried out the first in situ analyses of chemical and mineral compositions of the lunar soil and ground-based measurements of the lunar regolith and the underlying basalt units at this specific site. The lunar regolith layer recorded the surface processes of the Moon, whereas the basalt units recorded the volcanic eruption history.

Author contributions: Y.L., C.L., Y.Z., J. Yan, and Z.O. designed research; J.Z., W.Y., S.H., Y.L., and W.P. performed research; G.F., W.P., Z.H., H.L., J. Yang, E.L., and J.W. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; J.Z., W.Y., S.H., Y.L., S.Z., B.Z., Y.X., and Z.Y. analyzed data; and J.Z., W.Y., S.H., Y.L., and Y.X. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: linyt@mail.igcas.ac.cn.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1503082112/-/DCSupplemental.



The Desk & Derrick Club of Corpus Christi is a dynamic organization that promotes the education of the petroleum, energy and allied industries and advances the professional

Member Benefits:

- Learn from energy industry experts.
- Network with energy industry leaders and colleagues.
- Attend regional and national meetings.
- Receive critical updates and information about the energy industry.
- Enhance communication and leadership skills.
- Make friends for life!

For more information about the Desk & Derrick Club of Corpus Christi and to learn about member eligibility, go to www.addc.org or contact Jena Nelson at 361-844-6726 or email at jena@amshore.com

The Desk & Derrick Club of Corpus Christi is a proud affiliate of the Association of Desk And Derrick Clubs, www.addc.org



**Fig. 1.** The landing site of Chang'e-3 (red cross), on the high-Ti basalt (dark gray) near the boundary in contact with the low-Ti basalt (light gray). The background image was taken by Chang'e-1.

provide insights into the volcanic history of Mare Imbrium and the ground-truth data for calibration of the orbital data.

### **Chemical Compositions**

The chemical compositions of the lunar soil have been measured with the onboard APXS equipped on the robotic arm (Table 1), and detailed data processing is given in *SI Appendix*. The X-ray



**Fig. 2.** Chang'e-3 landing site and the rover Yutu's track. Crater A is blocky, indicating penetration through the regolith. Crater B is the largest one without blocks in the landing area. The APXS (LS1–LS2) and VNIS (CD5–CD8) analysis positions and the rover navigation points are marked. The image was composed from the series images taken by the Chang'e-3 landing camera.

spectra of the lunar soil were emitted from the surface within a diameter of 6 cm, displaying clear peaks of Mg, Al, Si, Ca, Ti, K, Cr, Fe, Sr, and Zr and detection of Y and Nb (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). The quantitative compositions of the lunar soil were calibrated with 10 working references covering the compositional ranges of the lunar soil, after correction for background, peak overlapping, and decay of the <sup>55</sup>Fe and <sup>109</sup>Cd sources. The two analyses, carried out in different locations, are nearly identical to each other within the analytical uncertainties, confirming the analytical reproducibility. The lunar soil contains higher TiO<sub>2</sub> (4.0-4.3 wt %) and FeO (21.3-22.1 wt %) but lower Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (10.5-11.5 wt %) compared with the Apollo and Luna soil samples (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). The composition of the lunar soil could represent that of the basalt beneath, suggested by its high FeO and TiO<sub>2</sub> contents. This is confirmed by the compositional mapping of the rims and proximal ejecta of small impacts (0.4-4 km in diameter) on the same high-Ti basalt unit, which show the upper limits of 20 wt % FeO and 4-7 wt % TiO<sub>2</sub> (14). The intermediate concentration of TiO<sub>2</sub>, compared with the gap between 4 and 7 wt % TiO<sub>2</sub> for Apollo and Luna mare basalts (15) (Fig. 3), probably indicates a distinct type of mare basalt. Based on the correlation between K and Th (16), 4 ppm Th of the lunar soil was estimated, which is slightly higher than the remote sensing value (~2 ppm Th) in Mare Imbrium (17). The incompatible lithophile trace elements Zr, Y, and Nb are enriched by factors of 0.10-0.12, 0.11-0.18, and 0.17 relative to the referred composition of KREEP (10), respectively. In addition, the concentration of K is  $0.18-0.22 \times \text{KREEP}$ . Such a nearly flat KREEP-normalized pattern of the lunar soil suggests that these incompatible lithophile trace elements can be attributed to assimilation of 10-20% of KREEP component. A scenario is that the basalt was derived via partial melting of ilmenite-rich mantle reservoir and then contaminated by the residual KREEP layer beneath the ferroan anorthosite crust as it ascended to the surface. Alternatively, the basalt was formed via partial melting of a mantle reservoir that had mixed with sinking dense ilmenite-rich KREEPy rock.

### **Mineral Abundances and Optical Maturity Index**

Four reflection spectra of the lunar soil have been acquired with the onboard VNIS, and the data processing is given in *SI Appendix*. The calibrated spectra are similar to the laboratory measurements of Apollo mare soil samples, showing absorption at 1  $\mu$ m and 2  $\mu$ m responding to the presence of pyroxene and plagioclase (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S11). The decoded average mineral composition of the soil is 17.9 vol % pyroxene (13.0–20.6 vol %) and 16.4 vol % plagioclase (15.0–17.5 vol %). This mineral composition

Table 1. Chemical compositions of the lunar soil measured with APXS

Sample name	LS1	±	LS2	±
SiO <sub>2</sub>	42.8		43.2	
MgO	9.9	1.5	8.9	1.9
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	11.5	0.9	10.5	1.0
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.18	0.01	0.15	0.01
CaO	10.4	0.3	10.9	0.4
TiO <sub>2</sub>	4.0	0.2	4.3	0.2
FeO	21.3	1.7	22.1	1.9
Total	100.0		100.0	
Cr, ppm	877	162	825	161
Sr, ppm	139	19	198	29
Y, ppm	34	10	54	13
Zr, ppm	200	26	168	49
Nb, ppm	13	2	14	10

In wt %, normalized to 100 wt %. LS1, lunar soil 1; LS2, lunar soil 2.

## info@geosteering.com GeoSteering LLC 281-573-0500 www.GeoSteering.com Geosteering in the USA and Internationally since 2002 Steering with GR **Steering with Images Steering with Resistivity** Eagle Ford Shale Tak DADAT Tractara Tractara GeoSteering LLC Eagle Ford Gamma Ray Image Example -. . . . . . Sut- that **Experience in Texas** Austin Chalk, Barnett, Buda, Caddo, Devonian, Eaglebine / Woodbine, Eagle Ford, Georgetown, Granite Wash, Permian (Delaware, Midland), Smithwick



Fig. 3. Compositions of the lunar soil at the landing site. (A) Y and Zr plot close to the mixing line with KREEP, different from Apollo basalts (14). (B) The FeO and TiO<sub>2</sub> contents plot in the gap between the high-Ti and low-Ti basalt samples (15).

is consistent with the average Apollo mare soils that consist of 16.3 vol % plagioclase and 18.6 vol % pyroxenes (1). The Apollo mare soils also contain abundant impact glass and

volcanic glass with minor olivine (~3.4 vol %); however, they cannot be decoded from the reflectance spectra. Furthermore, the FeO and  $TiO_2$  concentrations of the lunar soil can be



**Fig. 4.** Migration result of channel 2B of LPR. The profile is about 73 m long. Bold black and pink curves denote the lunar soil sublayer and regolith bottoms picked up manually, respectively. Bold black circles are the depths of lunar regolith picked up from the key traces by time-frequency analyses after migration (shown in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S19). The dashed black line (at 5 m) denotes the best depth estimation of the lunar regolith at the research area.

### **SPONSORS**





estimated from the corrections between the compositions and the reflectance spectrum parameters of the Apollo soils (SI Appendix, Fig. S9), which are 18.9 wt % (18.7-19.5 wt %) and 6.6 wt % (5.3–9.0 wt %), respectively. The estimated TiO<sub>2</sub> contents are somewhat higher than the APXS analyses, likely due to the shadow of the rough lunar soil surface (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). The estimated FeO contents are consistent with the APXS analyses within analytical uncertainties. The space weathering effect (darkening and reddening of the spectra) of the lunar soil can be indicated by the optical maturity index (18), which ranges from 0.098 to 0.158 with an exception of 0.312 for CD005 (SI Appendix, Table S2). The higher values of the optical maturity index and the spectral reflectance of CD005 may be due to blowing the top dusts off the lunar surface by the rocket during the descent of Chang'e-3 because CD005 is located closest to the lander (SI Appendix, Table S2). The optical maturity index values of CD006-CD008 suggest submature or mature lunar soil, undistinguishable from the Apollo mare soils. This is inconsistent with the rocky surface and the presence of abundant rocks in the lunar soil at the landing site (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), which is suggestive of a young age for the Chang'e-3 landing site. However, it has been noticed that there was no clear correlation between the optical maturity index and the ages of the Apollo mare soils (18).

### Lunar Regolith Layer Thickness

The lunar regolith layer and the underlying basalt units at the landing site have been detected by the onboard LPR, which has two frequency channels, i.e., channel 1 at 60 MHz and channel 2 at 500 MHz. The echoes were processed using preprocessing, migration and time-frequency analysis methods that are commonly used in seismic exploration (SI Appendix). Fig. 4 displays the LPR profile of channel 2 with a total distance of 73 m along the Yutu's track. The relative dielectric constant  $\varepsilon_r$  increases from 2.1 at the surface to 6.5 at a depth of 10 m, based on the function of lunar soil density with depth (19) and a model of the lunar regolith. We propose that the homogeneous uppermost sublayer contains 5.7 vol % of basaltic blocks determined from the high-resolution images of the landing camera (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Then, we assume that the rock debris content of the lunar regolith increases with depth to 100% at 10 m deep. The high-frequency LPR echoes clearly exhibit an uneven bottom of the lunar regolith, and the depth varies from 2.2 m to 5.4 m with a median value of  $\sim 5$  m (Fig. 4).

The thickness of the lunar regolith layer can also be constrained by high-resolution morphology of craters taken by the landing camera of Yutu. There are two large craters in the landing region (Fig. 2). The larger one (crater A, 18 m in diameter) is blocky, indicative of penetrating the regolith layer and excavating the underlying bedrock, whereas the other (crater B, 13.7 m in diameter) has few blocks, and hence, it is inside the regolith layer. The depths of both craters were calculated to 3.7 m and 4.9 m using the impacting model of the lunar regolith (www.lpi.usra.edu/lunar/tools/lunarcratercalc/). The depths of 3.7 m and 4.9 m can be referred to as the range of the regolith layer thickness, which is consistent with the LPR measurement. The ground-based LPR measurement of the lunar regolith layer thickness clarifies the wide range in previous estimations from 2.6 m (20) to 7.5 m (21) using the crater morphology method, or  $6.2 \pm 2.0 \text{ m}$  (5) and  $6.4 \pm 1.7 \text{ m}$  (22) based on lunar radar sounder data, in the same young, high-Ti region of Mare Imbrium. A thickness of 8.5–12.2 m of the regolith layer in the Apollo highlands was measured with seismology (4), but the lunar regolith layer thickness of 2-8 m at the Apollo mare sites was estimated (1). In addition, a much thicker regolith (8–32 m, with a median depth of 11 m) was estimated in three Eratosthenian mare areas (2.0-2.5 Ga) (23). Based on the positive correlation between regolith layer thickness and age of the



Fig. 5. The LPR profile of channel 1.

EARTH, ATMOSPHERIC, ND PLANETARY SCIENCE

surface (5), the regolith layer thickness at the young Chang'e-3 landing site could be considered to be the minimum of the global surface because of its young age of 3.0 Ga (7) or 2.0–2.3 Ga (12). The thick regolith layer at the Chang'e-3 landing site may be partially attributed to the ejecta deposits from a crater ~450 m in diameter, which locates ~60 m west to the lander. However, the high-resolution descending images reveal no recognizable modification of the distribution pattern of small craters on the surface (Fig. 2). The thickness of ejecta deposits must be less than 20 cm; otherwise, the craters <0.5 m in diameter would have been buried. Hence, the echoes detected by the LPR are mainly from the regolith layer rather than the ejecta deposits of the nearby crater. The mean thicknesses of the lunar regolith layer at Apollo mare sites were generally reported to 2-8 m (1), and the lower range could be somewhat underestimated because these sites are significantly older (3.8-3.1 Ga). This is also confirmed by the blocky nature of the Chang'e landing site, which suggests a thinner regolith layer than the Apollo sites.

In Fig. 4, the uppermost sublayer ( $\sim 0.7$  m in thickness) can be observed. The presence of this sublayer is independent of the model of the lunar regolith we used (*SI Appendix*, Figs. S16 and S17). This homogeneous sublayer likely represents the well– plowed-up top zone of the lunar regolith caused by small impacts. The measured thickness of  $\sim 0.7$  m of the sublayer is consistent with that of the homogeneous zone ( $\sim 60$  cm) at the top of Apollo 17 deep drill cores (1).



# STALKER ENERGY,LE

### Austin Office:

1717 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste 230 Austin, Texas 78703 512.457.8711 Contact: Bill Walker, Jr. bwalker@stalkerenergy.com

## Houston Office:

2001 Kirby Drive, Suite 950 Houston, Texas 77019 713.522.2733 Contact: Todd Sinex tsinex@stalkerenergy.com

# www.stalkerenergy.com

### **Underlying Basalt Units**

The underlying basalt units were probed by the low-frequency LPR echoes. Three obvious reflectors can be observed at depths of 195 m, 215 m, and 345 m (Fig. 5), using  $\varepsilon_r = 6.5$  (1). These reflectors likely indicate buried regolith layers formed during flooding hiatus of lava flows in the northeastern Mare Imbrium. This is consistent with the presence of three main types of basalt units in Mare Imbrium, based on variation of FeO and TiO<sub>2</sub> contents (13, 14). These basalt units were dated 3.3-3.5 Ga, 3.0 Ga, and 2–2.5 Ga (7, 12, 24). The lava flows originated from the vent area near the crater Euler (over 700 km south to the landing site) and extended north 1,200 km, 600 km, and 400 km from older low-Ti basalts to the overlying high-Ti flows (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The buried regolith layers between these basalt units are expected, produced by asteroid impacts during the time periods between the eruptions of the basalt units, which are likely responsible for these significant reflection horizons. Hence, the thickness of these three basalt units is 195 m, 20 m, and 130 m, from the top to the bottom, respectively.

Based on the effects of lava flow on lunar crater populations, the thickness of lava flows in the southwest Mare Imbrium were determined to 30-60 m (25) or six flow units with an average of 32-50 m (26). The much thicker uppermost basalt unit determined by Yutu's LPR suggests a sequence of lava flooding events (e.g., refs. 3-6) during a short period, and the individual flows cannot be detected by the LPR because of little regolith produced in the short hiatus. The total thickness of the top two basalt units (both high Ti) can be constrained by morphology of small craters with diameters of 0.4-4.0 km. The distribution of the TiO<sub>2</sub> contents of the rims and proximal ejecta deposits of these craters determined from compositional mapping data (14) revealed whether or not the craters have penetrated the overlying layer and excavated the bedrock beneath. The smallest craters with a diameter of 0.4-1.0 km show a peak at ~5.0 wt % TiO<sub>2</sub> with a low-TiO<sub>2</sub> tail, whereas that of large craters has a low-TiO<sub>2</sub> peak at ~2.5 wt % (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). The high-TiO<sub>2</sub> peak is similar to the in situ APXS analyses and the remote sensing results (27, 28). The low-TiO<sub>2</sub> tail of the smallest craters is due to excavating the underlying low-Ti basalt unit, as indicated by the low-TiO<sub>2</sub> peak for the larger craters (1-4 km in diameter) and the presence of old low-Ti basalt about 10 km north to the landing site. This result sets up a range of the total thickness of the upper two basalt units, from significantly larger than 109 m (depth of a crater with 0.4-km diameter) to obviously less than 270 m (depth of a crater with a 1-km diameter). This is consistent with the total thickness (210 m) of the upper two reflectors of LPR. Two subsurface layers in the eastern Mare Imbrium (36.0° N, 15.3° W) have been detected by lunar radar sounder on the Kaguya spacecraft, with depths of 250 m and 454-460 m (29). The footprint of the lunar radar sounder is located on the old low-Ti basalt unit (29), and the first reflector could be assigned to the third echo of Yutu's LPR. However, the depth of 250 m is nearly twice of the thickness of the old low-Ti basalt unit determined by Yutu's LPR. In addition, the deeper layer at 454-460 m measured by Kaguya spacecraft has not been detected by Yutu's LPR.

#### Conclusions

The chemical compositions of the lunar soil at the Chang'e-3 landing site were determined in situ by APXS, including trace elements of Zr, Sr, Y, and Nb. Its higher FeO (21.3–22.1 wt %) and TiO<sub>2</sub> contents (4.0–4.3 wt %) and lower Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content (10.5–11.5 wt %) compared with the Apollo and Luna soil

samples suggest a new type of basalt beneath, which has not yet been sampled in previous missions. The nearly flat KREEPnormalized abundance pattern of K, Zr, Y, and Nb suggests assimilation of the underlying KREEP material. The mineral abundances decoded from the ground-based measurements of the reflectance spectra of the lunar soil are similar to Apollo mare soil.

The thickness of the lunar regolith layer was measured with the LPR to be  $\sim$ 5 m. This is significantly larger than what we expected for a young mare site dated about 2.0–3.0 Ga (7, 12). Such a thick lunar regolith layer is unlikely attributed to ejecta deposits from a nearly crater because no significant modification on the surface was observed in the high-resolution images taken by the descending camera. In addition, an upper sublayer of  $\sim$ 70 cm was detected, which has a feature of lacking rocks. This argues against a detectible layer of the ejecta deposit along the lunar rover's track. Our LPR imaging results show that the thickness of the global lunar regolith layer was underestimated in previous studies (e.g., refs. 1, 20).

The presence of three basalt units detected by the LPR is consistent with the distribution of three main basalt units in the northern Mare Imbrium (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1). The thickness of 195 m of the top basalt unit indicates no significant cessation of volcanic eruption in the Imbrium basin until 2.0–3.0 Ga, different from a gradual cessation of magma eruption in the Imbrium basin (30). This could be at least partially attributed to high concentrations of radionuclides in the Procellarum KREEP Terrane. The high-Ti basalt was probably formed via partial melting of ilmenite-rich lunar mantle reservoir, followed by ascending to the Imbrium basin with 10–20% contamination of the KREEP material.

#### Methods

The chemical compositions of the lunar soils were determined from the APXS analyses, calibrated with two analyses of the onboard basaltic working reference and a set of standards that were measured in laboratory. All of the original APXS data are in 2B level and have been corrected for background and peak overlapping (for data processing details, see *SI Appendix*, *S2*).

The mineral abundances, optical maturity index, and the contents of FeO and TiO<sub>2</sub> of the lunar soil were achieved from the VNIS data in 2B level. The VNIS spectra were combined from those measured separately by the VIS/NIR imaging spectrometer (450–945 nm) and the shortwave IR (SWIR) (900–2395 nm), and they were reduced to repair bad lines and make flat field corrections and converted to reflectance. The Modified Gaussian Model was applied to decode the VNIS spectra, and the Apollo mare soils data were used to calibrate the results (for data handling, see *SI Appendix*, 54).

The lunar regolith layer was detected by the high-frequency channel (500 MHz, channel 2), and the depths of underlying basalt units were detected by the low-frequency channel (60 MHz, channel 1) of LPR on the Yutu rover. The 2B level data of channel 2 were processed using spherical spreading amplitude compensation and filtered by the second-order Butterworth filter. The time-frequency analysis was applied to the channel 2 data. The depths of the lunar soil sublayer and the lunar regolith bottom were estimated using the migration method. For channel 1, the 2B level data were filtered by band-pass Butterworth filter and 2D median filter (for full technical details, see *SI Appendix*, S5).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank two anonymous reviewers for their constructive reviews. The Chang'e-3 mission was carried out by the Chinese Lunar Exploration Program, and the data were supplied by the Ground Research and Application System of the Chinese Lunar Exploration Program. This study was supported by the Key Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KGZD-EW-603), National Natural Science Foundation of China (41273077, 41490631, 41221002, 41074092, and 41103031), and National Major Project of China (2011ZX05008-006). Numerical simulation of electromagnetic wavefields was performed in Computer Simulation Laboratory, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

- Bart GD (2014) The quantitative relationship between small impact crater morphology and regolith depth. *Icarus* 235(E8):130–135.
- Nakamura Y, Dorman J, Duennebier F, Lammlein D, Latham G (1975) Shallow lunar structure determined from the passive seismic experiment. *Moon* 13(1-3):57–66.

Heiken G, Vaniman D, French BM (1991) Lunar Sourcebook: A User's Guide to the Moon (Cambridge University Press, Houston).

Quaide WL, Oberbeck VR (1968) Thickness determinations of the lunar surface layer from lunar impact craters. J Geophys Res 73(16):5247–5270.

# **SEISMIC-BASED ANALYTICS**



An arbitrary line through 3 vertical wells in the West Texas Permian Basin shows the lateral variations of the Production Prediction Model and reveals refrac potential for Wells 1 and 3 where the red disks indicate the actual completion intervals and the white circles highlight the missed pay. Note the untapped target near Well 1.

For More Information: www.globalgeophysical.com/ANALYTICS or Contact ANALYTICS@globalgeophysical.com



In today's challenging market, no one can afford a bad well. Global's seismic-based analytics can help you avoid the pitfalls and pinpoint the sweet spots. By integrating seismic, geological, and engineering data, this proprietary workflow identifies the most prospective areas and zones for drilling - down to the stage level! This reduces risk, optimizes spend, and greatly increases the chance for every well and stage to be a winner.

- High-grade prospective drilling areas and zones
- Enhance well planning and geosteering
- Optimize completion placements and frac design
- Predict well performance at stage level
- Boost EUR of individual wells
- Identify candidates for refrac

Global's **SEISMIC-BASED ANALYTICS** approach is field-proven with successful projects in both conventional and unconventional reservoirs. Contact us today to find out how Global can help you make every well a winner.

- Shkuratov YG, Bondarenko NV (2001) Regolith layer thickness mapping of the Moon by radar and optical data. *Icarus* 149(2):329–338.
- Fa W, Jin Y-Q (2010) A primary analysis of microwave brightness temperature of lunar surface from Chang-E 1 multi-channel radiometer observation and inversion of regolith layer thickness. *Icarus* 207(2):605–615.
- 7. Hiesinger H, Jaumann R, Neukum G, Head JW, III (2000) Ages of mare basalts on the lunar nearside. J Geophys Res 105(E12):29239–29275.
- Geiss J, Rossi A (2013) On the chronology of lunar origin and evolution. Astron Astrophys Rev 21(1):1–54.
- Jolliff BL, Gillis JJ, Haskin LA, Korotev RL, Wieczorek MA (2000) Major lunar crustal terranes: Surface expressions and crust-mantle origins. J Geophys Res 105(E2): 4197–4216.
- 10. Warren PH, Wasson JT (1979) The origin of KREEP. Rev Geophys 17(1):73-88.
- 11. Warren PH (1985) The magma ocean concept and lunar evolution. *Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci* 13(1):201–240.
- Morota T, et al. (2011) Timing and characteristics of the latest mare eruption on the Moon. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 302(3-4):255–266.
- Bugiolacchi R, Guest JE (2008) Compositional and temporal investigation of exposed lunar basalts in the Mare Imbrium region. *Icarus* 197(1):1–18.
- Kramer GY, Jolliff BL, Neal CR (2008) Searching for high alumina mare basalts using Clementine UVVIS and Lunar Prospector GRS data: Mare Fecunditatis and Mare Imbrium. *Icarus* 198(1):7–18.
- Kramer GY, Jolliff BL, Neal CR (2006) Distinguishing high-alumina mare basalts using Clementine UVVIS and Lunar Prospector GRS data: Mare Moscoviense and Mare Nectaris. J Geophys Res 113(E1):E01002.
- Gillis JJ, Jolliff BL, Korotev RL (2004) Lunar surface geochemistry: Global concentrations of Th, K, and FeO as derived from lunar prospector and Clementine data. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 68(18):3791–3805.
- 17. Yamashita N, et al. (2010) Uranium on the Moon: Global distribution and U/Th ratio. *Geophys Res Lett* 37(10):L10201.

- Lucey PG, Blewett DT, Taylor GJ, Hawke BR (2000) Imaging of lunar surface maturity. J Geophys Res 105(E8):20377–20386.
- 19. Olhoeft GR, Strangway DW (1975) Dielectric properties of the first 100 meters of the Moon. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 24(3):394–404.
- Bart GD, Nickerson RD, Lawder MT, Melosh HJ (2011) Global survey of lunar regolith depths from LROC images. *Icarus* 215(2):485–490.
- Oberbeck VR, Quaide WL (1968) Genetic implications of Lunar regolith thickness variations. *Icarus* 9(1-3):446–465.
- Kobayashi T, Kim JH, Seung Ryeol L, Araki H, Ono T (2010) Simultaneous observation of Lunar Radar Sounder and Laser Altimeter of Kaguya for lunar regolith layer thickness estimate. *IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens* 7(3):435–439.
- Wilcox BB, Robinson MS, Thomas PC, Hawke BR (2005) Constraints on the depth and variability of the lunar regolith. *Meteorit Planet Sci* 40(5):695–710.
- Hiesinger H, Head JW, Wolf U, Jaumann R, Neukum G (2010) Ages and stratigraphy of lunar mare basalts in Mare Frigoris and other nearside maria based on crater sizefrequency distribution measurements. J Geophys Res 115(E3):E03003.
- 25. Neukum G, Horn P (1976) Effects of lava flows on lunar crater populations. *Moon* 15(3-4):205–222.
- Hiesinger H, Head JW, Wolf U, Jaumann R, Neukum G (2002) Lunar mare basalt flow units: Thicknesses determined from crater size-frequency distributions. *Geophys Res Lett* 29(8):L014847.
- Giguere TA, Taylor GJ, Hawke BR, Lucey PG (2000) The titanium contents of lunar mare basalts. *Meteorit Planet Sci* 35(1):193–200.
- Gillis JJ, Jolliff BL, Elphic RC (2003) A revised algorithm for calculating TiO<sub>2</sub> from Clementine UVVIS data: A synthesis of rock, soil, and remotely sensed TiO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. J Geophys Res 108(E2):5009–5016.
- Ono T, et al. (2009) Lunar radar sounder observations of subsurface layers under the nearside maria of the Moon. *Science* 323(5916):909–912.
- Oshigami S, et al. (2014) Mare volcanism: Reinterpretation based on Kaguya Lunar Radar Sounder data. J Geophys Res Planets 119(5):1037–1045.



Innovative Seismic Processing Solutions



2D and 3D Land and Marine Pre-Stack Time and Depth Imaging

Pre- and Post-Stack Attributes for Amplitude, Frequency and Resolution

4805 Westway Park Blvd. Houston, TX 77041 p: 832.554.4301 www.seimaxtech.com



SABALO ENERGY | P.O. BOX 2907 | CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78403 | 361.888.7708 | SABALOENERGY.COM





Corpus Christi Geological Society Papers available for purchase at the Texas Bureau of Economic Geology

Note: Publication codes are hyperlinked to their online listing in The Bureau Store (http://begstore.beg.utexas.edu/store/).

Cretaceous-Wilcox-Frio Symposia, D. B. Clutterbuck, Editor, 41 p., 1962. CCGS 002S \$15.00

Type Logs of South Texas Fields, Vol. I, Frio Trend. Compiled by Don Kling. Includes 134 fields. 158 p., 1972. Ring binder. CCGS 015TL \$25.00

Type Logs of South Texas Fields, Vol. II, Wilcox (Eocene) Trend. Compiled by M. A. Wolbrink. 98 p., 1979. Ring binder. CCGS 016TL \$25.00

### **Field Trip Guidebooks**

South Texas Uranium. J. L. Cowdrey, Editor. 62 p., 1968. \$12.00 CCGS 102G

Hidalgo Canyon and La Popa Valley, Nuevo Leon, Mexico. CCGS 1970 Spring Field Conference. 78 p., 1970. CCGS 103G \$8.00

Padre Island National Seashore Field Guide. R. N. Tench and W. D. Hodgson, Editors. 61 p., 1972. CCGS 104G \$5.00

Triple Energy Field Trip, Uranium, Coal, Gas-Duval, Webb & Zapata Counties, Texas. George Faga, Editor. 24 p., 1975. CCGS 105G \$10.00

Minas de Golondrinas and Minas Rancherias, Mexico. Robert Manson and Barbara Beynon, Editors. 48 p. plus illus., 1978. CCGS 106G \$15.00

Portrero Garcia and Huasteca Canyon, Northeastern Mexico. Barbara Beynon and J. L. Russell, Editors. 46 p., 1979. CCGS 107G \$15.00

Modern Depositional Environments of Sands in South Texas. C. E. Stelting and J. L. Russell, Editors. 64 p., 1981. CCGS 108G \$15.00

Geology of Peregrina & Novillo Canyons, Ciudad Victoria, Mexico, J. L. Russell, Ed., 23 p. plus geologic map and cross section, 1981. CCGS 109G \$10.00

Geology of the Llano Uplift, Central Texas, and Geological Features in the Uvalde Area. Corpus Christi Geological Society Annual Spring Field Conference, May 7-9, 1982. Variously paginated. 115 p., 53 p.

CCGS 110G \$15.00

Structure and Mesozoic Stratigraphy of Northeast Mexico, prepared by numerous authors, variously paginated. 76 p., 38 p., 1984. CCGS 111G \$15.00

Geology of the Big Bend National Park. Texas, by C. A. Berkebile. 26 p., 1984. CCGS 112G \$12.00

# **GEO LINK POST**

http://www.lib.utexas.edu/books/landsapes/index.php Free service. Rare, fragile, hardto-find, public domain documents covering various topics about the landscape of Texas. Includes the Texas Geological Survey from 1887 until 1894.

**USGS TAPESTRY OF TIME AND TERRAIN** <u>http://tapestry.usgs.gov</u> The CCGS is donating to all of the 5th and 6th grade schools in the Coastal Bend. Check it out--it is a spectacular map. You might want to frame one for your own office. The one in my office has glass and a metal frame, and It cost \$400 and it does not look as good as the ones we are giving to the schools.

**FREE TEXAS TOPOS'S** <u>http://www.tnris.state.tx.us/digital.htm</u> these are TIFF files from your state government that can be downloaded and printed. You can ad them to SMT by converting them first in Globalmapper. Other digital data as well.

**FREE NATIONAL TOPO'S** <u>http://store.usgs.gov/b2c\_usgs/b2c/start/</u> (xcm=r3standardpitrex\_prd)/.do go to this webpage and look on the extreme right side to the box titled TOPO MAPS <u>DOWNLOAD</u> TOPO MAPS FREE.

http://www.geographynetwork.com/ Go here and try their top 5 map services. My favorite is 'USGS Elevation Date.' Zoom in on your favorite places and see great shaded relief images. One of my favorites is the Great Sand Dunes National Park in south central Colorado. Nice Dunes.

http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/asropix.html Astronomy picture of the day--awesome. I click this page everyday.

http://www.spacimaging.com/gallery/ioweek/iow.htm Amazing satellite images. Check out the gallery.

http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/seg/topo/globegal.shtml More great maps to share with kids and students.

www.ccgeo.org Don't forget we have our own we page.

http://terra.nasa.gov/gallery/ Great satellite images of Earth.

<u>www.ermaper.com</u> They have a great free downloadable viewer for TIFF and other graphic files called ER Viewer.

<u>http://terrasrver.com</u> Go here to download free aerial photo images that can be plotted under your digital land and well data. Images down to 1 meter resolution, searchable by Lat Long coordinate. Useful for resolving well location questions.

#### TYPE LOGS OF SOUTH TEXAS FIELDS by Corpus Christi Geological Society

### NEW (2019)TYPE LOGS IN RED

ARANSAS COUNTY Aransas Pass/McCampbell Deep Bartell Pass Blackjack Burgentine Lake Copano Bay, South Estes Cove Fulton Beach Goose Island Half Moon Reef Nine Mile Point Rockport, West St. Charles Tally Island Tract 831-G.O.M. (offshore)

### BEE COUNTY

Virginia

Caesar Mosca Nomanna Orangedale(2) Ray-Wilcox San Domingo **Tulsita Wilcox** Strauch\_Wilcox

### BROOKS COUNTY

Ann Mag Boedecker Cage Ranch Encintas ERF Gyp Hill Gyp Hill West Loma Blanca Mariposa Mills Bennett Pita Tio Ayola Tres Encinos

### CALHOUN COUNTY

Appling Coloma Creek, North Heyser Lavaca Bay Long Mott Magnolia Beach Mosquito Point Olivia Panther Reef Powderhorn Seadrift, N.W. Steamboat Pass Webb Point S.E. Zoller CAMERON COUNTY

Holly Beach Luttes San Martin (2) Three Islands, East Vista Del Mar COLORADO COUNTY E. Ramsey Graceland N. Fault Blk Graceland S. Fault Blk **DEWITT COUNTY** Anna Barre Cook Nordheim Smith Creek Warmsley Yorktown, South **DUVAL COUNTY** DCR-49 Four Seasons Good Friday Hagist Ranch Herbst Loma Novia Petrox Seven Sisters Seventy Six, South Starr Bright, West **GOLIAD COUNTY** Berclair North Blanconia Bombs Boyce Cabeza Creek, South Goliad West St Armo Terrell Point **HIDALGO COUNTY** Alamo/Donna Donna Edinburg, West Flores-Jeffress Foy Hidalgo LA Blanca McAllen& Pharr McAllen Ranch Mercedes Monte Christo North Penitas San Fordvce San Carlos San Salvador S. Santallana Sharv Tabasco Weslaco, North Weslaco South

### JACKSON COUNTY

Carancahua Creek Francitas Ganado & Ganado Deep LaWard, North Little Kentucky

### lost now found

Maurbro
StewartSwan Lake
Swan Lake, East
Texana, North
West Ranch
<u>JIM HOGG COUNTY</u>
Chaparosa
Thompsonville,N.E.
JIM WELLS COUNTY
Freebom
Hoelsher
Palito Blanco
Wade City
KARNES COUNTY
Burnell
Coy City
Person
Runge
KENEDY COUNTY
Candelaria
Julian
Julian, North
Laguna Madre
Rita
Stillman
KLEBERG COUNTY
Alazan
Alazan, North
Big Caesar
Borregos
Chevron (offshore)
Laguna Larga
Seeligson
Sprint (offshore)
LA SALLE COUNTY
Pearsall
HAWKVILLE:EAGLEFORE
LAVACA COUNTY
Halletsville
Норе
Southwest Speaks
Southwest Speaks Deep
LIVE OAK COUNTY
Atkinson
Braslau
Chapa
Clayton

Dunn

Harris

Lucille

Houdman

Sierra Vista

Tom Lyne

White Creek

White Creek, East

Kittie West-Salt Creek

MCMULLEN COUNTY Arnold-Weldon Brazil Devil's Waterhole Hostetter Hostetter North NUECES COUNTY Agua Dulce (3) Arnold-David Arnold-David, North Baldwin Deep Calallen Chapman Ranch Corpus Christi, N.W. Corpus Christi West C.C. **Encinal Channel** Flour Bluff/Flour Bluff, East GOM St 9045(offshore) Indian Point Mustang Island Mustang Island, West Mustang Island St. 889S(offshore) Nueces Bay/Nueces Bay West Perro Rojo Pita Island Ramada Redfish Bay Riverside Riverside, South Saxet Shield Stedman Island Turkey Creek **REFUGIO COUNTY** Bonnieview/Packery Flats Greta La Rosa Lake Pasture Refugio, New Tom O'Connor SAN PATRICIO COUNTY Angelita East Commonwealth Encino Enos Cooper Geronimo Harvev Hiberia Hodges Mathis, East McCampbell Deep/Aransas Pass

MATAGORDA COUNTY

Collegeport

Odem

Plymouth Portilla (2) Taft Taft, East White Point, East STARR COUNTY El Tanque Garcia Hinde La Reforma, S.W. Lyda Ricaby Rincon Rincon North Ross San Roman Sun Yturria VICTORIA COUNTY Helen Gohike, S.W. Keeran, North Marcado Creek McFaddin Meyersville Placedo WEBB COUNTY Aquilares/Glen Martin **Big Cowboy** Bruni S.F. Cabezon Carr Lobo Davis Hirsch Juanita Las Tiendas Nicholson O'Hem Olmitos Tom Walsh WHARTON COUNTY Black Owl WILLACY COUNTY Chile Vieja La Sal Vieja Paso Real Tenerias Willamar ZAPATA COUNTY Benavides Davis South Jennings/Jennings, West Lopeno M&F Pok-A-Dot

ZAVALA COUNTY

El Bano

Call Coastal Bend Geologica<u>l Library, Letty: 361-883-2736</u> I log – \$10 each, 5-10 logs \$9 each and 10 + logs \$8.00 each – plus postage

Midway

Midway, North

# OIL MEN TALES FROM THE SOUTH TEXAS OIL PATCH DVD MEMBER PRICE \$25 NON-MEMBER \$30



To Order DVD Sebastian Wiedmann swiedmann.geo@gmail.com If mailed add \$5.00



Wooden Rigs—Iron Men The Story of Oil & Gas in South Texas By Bill & Marjorie K. Walraven Published by the Corpus Christi Geological Society Corpus Christi Geological Society C/O Javelina Press 4609 Wilma Dr. Corpus Christi, TX 78412

Order Form		
Mail order form for Wooden rigs-Iron Men. The price is \$75 per copy, which includes sales tax, handling, and postage Name		
Address		
City, State, Zip		
No. of books	Amount enclosed	
Send to Corpus Christi Geological Society Book Orders 4609 Wilma Dr. Corpus Christi TX 78412 Tax exempt# if applicable		







<b>DAHCO Exploration, LLC</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COURT</b> <b>COU</b>	Charles A. Sternbach, Ph.D PresidentBace Creek Energy Company Oil and Gas Exploration800 Wilcrest Drive, Suite 230 Houston, Texas 77042 office: 281 679 7333 cell: 832 567 7333 carbodude@gmail.comarbodude@gmail.com	THOMAS W. SWINDANK GRANDWED PETROLADIA REGULODIAT PRESIDENT STRIKE OIL & MINERALS CORP. P.O. BER 1838 ROBERTOWN, TELAR 79627 CEL 312:076-0305
Dennis A. Taylor   Off: (361) 888-4496     President and Chief Geologist   Fax: (361) 888-4496     dennis@amshore.com   Every Construction     Direct Line: (361) 844-6728   Cell: (972) 672-9916     Construction Construction     MOREICENER, INCL.     AMSHORE US WIND, LLC     802 N. Carancabus Street, Suite 1250     Corpus Christ. Texas 78401-0019     www.amshore.com	Environmental Exploration & Production JEANIE TIMMERMANN GEOSCIENTIST TX LICENSE #2289 7214 Everthert #9 (361) 991-7451 Corpus Christi, SX 78413 jtimmermann74@mon.com	Jim Travillo     Sanior Geoscientist     1330 Past Oak Boulevard     Bavis     Patroleum     Drop.     www.dwispetroleumcorp.com
Austre Austre	SEBASTIAN P. WIEDMANN GEOSCIENTIST WESOW PARA WISI OK COMMENDIAL SUIT 500 Comme Clearth, Frank 78401 Swiedmann, gew@imail.com	Dave Willis Onshore Sales   Main   +1   713   789   7250     Direct   +1   281   781   1035     Mobile   +1   281   543   6189     Fax   +1   713   789   7201     dave. willis@iongeo.com   2105   CityWest Blvd.   Suite 900     Houston, TX   77042-2839   USA
ROLF WOODS Exploration Geologist		



www.millenniumpetrocapital.com

# SEEKING DEALS

 3-D Seismic Based Prospects in the Gulf Coast Region of Texas
Conventional or Tight Oil Sands Only
Leased or Unleased
Operations Preferred



The Millennium family of companies are a privately held oil and natural gas exploration and production enterprise founded in 2006 and are headquartered in San Antonio, Texas.

Geographically focused, Millennium specializes in developing and producing reserves in the Gulf Coast regions of Texas. Our team aggressively pursues large working interest positions with operations in prospects with strong geological merit, well control and reliable seismic interpretations.

To present your prospect for consideration, please email a summary and/or any associated confidentiality documents to our exploration team:

geology@millenniumpetrocapital.com